

The Bee-Line – Westchester: Analysis of Proposed Route Elimination

NYSAMPO Shared Transit Service Planning and Analytics Initiative January 2023



Sam **Schwartz**



Marlene Connor Associates LLC

Table of Contents

| Table of Contents | 2 |
|---|----|
| Table of Figures | 3 |
| Executive Summary | 4 |
| Problem Statement | 5 |
| Solution | 6 |
| Design | 6 |
| Selection of Open-Source Software | 6 |
| How Conveyal Works | 7 |
| Case Study Methodology | 8 |
| BxM4C Ridership Profile | 10 |
| Outcomes | 11 |
| With and Without BxM4C | 11 |
| Truncation Matrices | 13 |
| Discussion | 15 |
| Limitations | 15 |
| Lessons Learned | 16 |
| Software Assessment | 17 |
| Open Trip Planner (OTP) | 17 |
| Conveyal | 18 |
| Recommendations | 19 |
| References | 21 |
| Appendix A: Technical Analysis | 22 |
| Travel Time – Southbound, 5 th Percentile, BxM4C (Existing Conditions) | 27 |
| Travel Time – Southbound, 5 th Percentile, No BxM4C | 28 |
| Difference of Travel Time Between BxM4C and No BxM4C – Southbound, 5 th Percentile | 29 |
| O-D Pair Difference Table | 30 |
| Truncation Matrices | 31 |
| Appendix B: Analysis Origins and Destinations | 33 |

Table of Figures

| Figure 1 - Preliminary Software Assessment | 7 |
|---|------|
| Figure 2 - Conveyal CSV Output | 7 |
| Figure 3 - Conveyal Parameter Menu | 7 |
| Figure 4 - Combined Conveyal Outputs | 8 |
| Figure 6 - Matrix Detail | 9 |
| Figure 5 - Full Matrix | 9 |
| Figure 7 - BxM4C Distribution of Westchester Boardings | . 10 |
| Figure 8 - BxM4C Distribution of Manhattan Alightings | . 10 |
| Figure 9 - O-D Pair Difference Table | . 11 |
| Figure 10 - Difference of Travel Time Between BxM4C and No BxM4C – Southbound, 5th Percentile | . 12 |
| Figure 11 - Truncation at Stop 3069 Matrix | . 13 |
| Figure 15 - Truncation at Stop 1943 Matrix | . 14 |
| Figure 14 - Truncation at Stop 1942 Matrix | . 14 |
| Figure 13 - Truncation at Stop 2968 Matrix | . 14 |
| Figure 12 - Truncation at Stop 1941 Matrix | . 14 |
| Figure 16 - OTP User Interface | . 17 |
| Figure 17 - OTP Output | . 17 |
| Figure 18 - Conveyal Region Setup | . 18 |
| Figure 19 - Conveyal Parameters Menu | . 19 |
| Figure 20 - Conveyal JSON Editor | . 19 |
| Figure 21 - O-D CSV | . 22 |
| Figure 22 - Conveyal Parameter Menu | . 23 |
| Figure 23 - Conveyal Regional Analysis Menu | . 23 |
| Figure 24 - Conveyal Scenario Modification Menu | . 24 |
| Figure 25 - Conveyal CSV Output | . 24 |
| Figure 26 - Conveyal CSV Outputs Combined | . 25 |
| Figure 27 - Matrix Layout | . 25 |
| Figure 28 - Matrix Conditional Formatting | . 26 |
| Figure 29 - Travel Time – Southbound, 5th Percentile, BxM4C (Existing Conditions) | . 27 |
| Figure 30 - Travel Time – Southbound, 5th Percentile, No BxM4C | . 28 |
| Figure 31 - Difference of Travel Time Between BxM4C and No BxM4C – Southbound, 5th Percentile | . 29 |
| Figure 32 - O-D Pair Difference Table | . 30 |
| Figure 33 - Truncation at Stop 3069 Matrix | . 31 |
| Figure 34 - Truncation at Stop 1943 Matrix | . 32 |
| Figure 35 - Truncation at Stop 1942 Matrix | . 32 |
| Figure 36 - Truncation at Stop 2968 Matrix | . 32 |
| Figure 37 - Truncation at Stop 1941 Matrix | . 32 |
| Figure 38 - Full List of Origins and Destinations Selected for Analyses | . 35 |

Executive Summary

The BxM4C is an express bus service run by the Westchester County Bee-Line System between White Plains and Midtown Manhattan. The route has seen continually declining ridership over the last 10 years along with higher-than-average operating costs. This pilot project seeks to determine what impact the removal or truncation of the BxM4C service would have, and how existing service can meet the needs of current ridership.

The project design tested the value of the BxM4C using origin-destination (O-D) matrices from a variety of open-source software tools to analyze travel time for BxM4C riders in a variety of service scenarios. The Research Team chose the Conveyal software due to its ability to batch run and export complex and detailed outputs in a useful format for post-processing in Excel. The Research Team compared travel times of BxM4C riders from origins in Westchester County to destinations in Manhattan in scenarios where BxM4C is providing normal service, where BxM4C is removed, and where the service is truncated at various locations in Manhattan.

The Research Team also reviewed boarding and alighting data for the BxM4C. The high boarding data in lower Westchester and the high alighting data along Central Park (stops 2968, 1942, and 1943) strongly suggests that the BxM4C ridership is also the geographic/demographic being most served by the BxM4C.

Based on the outcomes of the analysis, it is determined that removal of the BxM4C would most severely impact the people living in lower Westchester who work in upper Manhattan. Removal of the BxM4C would most severely impact this demographic by increasing inbound travel times by anywhere from 20-50minutes depending on commute start time and route schedule optimization by those riders.

Based on these findings the Research Team recommends conducting a cost/benefit analysis on the cost of truncating the service at 2 potential stop locations – at 1942 at the lower corner of Central Park, and at 1943 near Rockefeller Center. The Research Team also recommends the Conveyal software for further exploration for shared-use in New York State but notes that it would require purchase of support services from either Conveyal or from a third-party to support transit planners in designing analyses and in producing meaningful outcomes.

Problem Statement



¹ Bee-Line Bus Schedules and Maps. (n.d.). Retrieved December 22, 2022, from <u>https://transportation.westchestergov.com/bee-line-bus/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=1176&Itemid=123</u>

² Westchester Mobility. (n.d.). Westchester. Retrieved December 22, 2022, from https://www.westchestermobility.org

Solution

Design

The project design will test the value of the BxM4C using origin-destination (O-D) matrices to analyze travel time for BxM4C riders in a variety of service scenarios. The Research Team will compare travel time of BxM4C riders from origins in Westchester County to destinations in Manhattan in scenarios where BxM4C is providing normal service, where BxM4C is removed, and where the service is truncated at various locations in Manhattan. The origin and destination locations are all within the greater New York City transit network shed. The Research Team will test all O-D pairs to locate pairs in which travel time is significantly impacted by reduction in service and make recommendations based upon the outcomes.

Selection of Open-Source Software

This pilot was organized and implemented through the NYSAMPO Shared Transit project which tasked the Research Team with testing open-source software for transit network analysis. "Open-source" is a designation for software whose original source code is freely available for users to view, modify, or redistribute. These software are often free to use, modify, and do not contain proprietary components that cannot be accessed.

The Research Team looked for an available open-source solution that could perform travel time matrices. As part of the Shared Transit project, the Research Team conducted a transit software market analysis and identified several potential software solutions that supported travel time matrix functionality. The team formulated a Preliminary Software Assessment through the research of Lahoorpoor et al., *The Transit Travel Time Machine: Comparing Three Different Tools for Travel Time Estimation*³, and hands-on experience.

| Preliminary S | oftware Assessment | | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Conveyal ⁴ | Open Trip Planner (OTP) ⁵ | Google API ⁶ | Esri Network Analyst ⁷ |
| Ease of Use | Provides a user interface (UI) that allows for detailed analysis modification. | Simple UI that is easy to use but lacks customization and features. | Simple UI that is easy to use but lacks customization. | Can perform detailed analyses but requires user to be proficient with Esri tools. |

³ Lahoorpoor, B., & Levinson, D. M. (2019). *The Transit Travel Time Machine: Comparing Three Different Tools for Travel Time Estimation* [Working Paper]. https://ses.library.usyd.edu.au/handle/2123/21351

⁴ Conveyal—Evaluate changes to your public transportation system. (n.d.). Retrieved December 23, 2022, from <u>https://conveyal.com/</u> ⁵ OpenTripPlanner 2. (n.d.). Retrieved December 23, 2022, from <u>https://docs.opentripplanner.org/en/v2.2.0/</u>

⁶ Google Transit. (n.d.). Google Developers. Retrieved December 23, 2022, from https://developers.google.com/transit

⁷ ArcGIS Network Analyst / Vehicle Routing Problem & Spatial Network Analysis. (n.d.). Retrieved December 23, 2022, from <u>https://www.esri.com/en-us/arcgis/products/arcgis-network-analyst/overview</u>

| Accessibility | Runs as a webhosted application— | Runs as a webhosted | An | extension of the |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|------|------------------|
| | easy to access once established. | application—easy to | Arce | GIS desktop |
| | | access once | soft | ware. |
| | | established. | | |
| Potential | One webhosted instance could be | One webhosted | Ana | yses and outputs |
| for Shared | a centralized access point for many | instance could be a | are | performed on the |
| Use | users (with sufficient server | centralized access | user | 's computer and |
| | hardware). | point for many users. | mus | t be shared |
| | | | man | ually. |

Figure 1 - Preliminary Software Assessment

After evaluating Conveyal, OTP, Google API, and Esri's Network Analyst extension, Conveyal appeared to be the most effective solution. Esri's Network Analyst was a potential solution, but the client did not have access to the software. OTP and Google API would have required custom software code to perform the analysis and to extract and compile the results. Conveyal offered several advantages over the other

software evaluated. Its user interface (UI) and output design allows a user to run a single analysis for all origins and destinations at once. Conveyal is also a webhosted instance making it a viable open-source solution for shared use by one or more agencies needing multiple users to access the software concurrently.

| origin | destination | percentile | time |
|--------|-------------|------------|------|
| 1941 | 1941 | 5 | 0 |
| 1941 | 1942 | 5 | 11 |
| 1941 | 1943 | 5 | 13 |
| 1941 | 1944 | 5 | 15 |

How Conveyal Works

To conduct a Conveyal regional travel time matrix a user must first set up a market area based on Open Street Map and GTFS. Then the user must choose a specific day from within the GTFS timeperiod and select a time range for the analysis. Finally, the user selects

| Project | | | Scena | ario | | | | | Active p | preset | | Save + |
|----------------|----------|----------|--------|--------|-----|---------|--------|---|----------|---------|------------|--------|
| BxM4C Analysi | is w/ De | s 🗸 | Def | ault | | | \sim | | Save | presets | to be used | later. |
| Access mode | | | | | Tra | nsit mo | des | | | | Egress | mode |
| x ~ A | | All | 8 | T | | Ð | ٨ | С | G | F | Ŕ | õ |
| Date | | From tim | ne | | | To time | | | | Maxim | um transfe | rs |
| 10 / 16 / 2019 | 0 | 05:00 | | HH:mn | n | 10:00 | | H | l:mm | 3 | | |
| Walk speed | | Max wal | k time | | | Decay F | unctio | n | 0 | Simula | ted sched | ules |
| 5 | km/h | 30 | | minute | s | Step | | | ~ | 200 | | |



parameters for the travel time matrix regional analysis such as total walk distance, maximum number of transfers, distance from bus-stops, and the number of trips to run. The trips represent the number of synthetic riders that Conveyal will randomly place within the specified distance from the origin and destination locations within the time-period. Synthetic riders are determined to access and egress all types of available transit by walking.

The regional travel time matrix analysis then calculates a CSV file with a row for each origin point, destination point, and travel time percentile. In travel time CSV results, a time of -1 indicates the destination is unreachable within the maximum cutoff specified when the regional analysis was created.

Figure 2 - Conveyal CSV Output

Conveyal produces a second type of CSV file that includes path information such as the transit lines, boarding and alighting stops, number of transfers, walk times and Iterations which provides the number of departure minutes in the departure time window at which a given transit/walk path is the most optimal in the system for a given O-D pair.

When a route is removed from service, Conveyal reroutes synthetic riders through the remaining transit network ecosystem to get them to their destination. Since the fastest routing is no longer available, new routes utilizing more transfers are required.

Case Study Methodology

In order to conduct an analysis that identifies the impacts of route removal, a New York City regional transit network ecosystem was developed using Conveyal, OpenStreetMap, Socioeconomic data (ACS and LODES), and multiple General Transit Feed Specification (GTFS) packages. The Research Team added a list of Origins and Destination which included all of the BxM4C stops and a collection of other locations that could be possible Westchester origins and possible high gravity locations in Manhattan and the Bronx. Some of the high gravity locations included points of interest and parking lots along the route that were known to be used informally as park and rides for the BxM4C. The individual stops along the route are less important to riders than the destinations they are trying to reach, which was penalizing the No-BxM4C and truncated service scenarios in the analysis.

After completing this setup, Conveyal was able to generate travel times from origin locations on or near the BxM4C to select destinations within the ecosystem for each scenario. Conveyal's output provided the travel times for each O-D pairing and any transfers that a rider would need to take to get from destinations within Westchester County to Manhattan.

| origin | • | destination 💌 | percentile 🗾 | bxm time 💌 | no bxm4c 💌 | difference 💌 |
|--------|----|---------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| 19 | 41 | 1941 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 41 | 1942 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 3 |
| 19 | 41 | 1943 | 5 | 10 | 13 | 3 |
| 19 | 41 | 1944 | 5 | 12 | 15 | 3 |

Figure 4 - Combined Conveyal Outputs

Outputs were generated for each of the three primary scenarios:

BxM4C In-Service (Existing Conditions)

BxM4C Is Removed from Service

BxM4C Is Truncated

At Stop 3069 - 5TH Avenue and 98TH Street

At Stop 1941 - 5TH Avenue and 85TH Street

At Stop 2968 - 5TH Avenue and 69TH Street

At Stop 1942 - 5TH Avenue and 59TH Street

At Stop 1943 - 5TH Avenue and 51ST Street

Using Microsoft Excel, outputs from Conveyal were postprocessed into matrices showing travel times (in minutes) from each origin to each destination. The BxM4C and No-BxM4C scenario matrices were compared to assess the impact the removal of service would have. Each of the truncation matrices were compared to each other to identify optimal locations for service truncation.

| Stop ID | 1941 | 2968 | 1942 | 1943 |
|---------|------|------|------|------|
| 1941 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| 2968 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| 1942 | 17 | 10 | 0 | 4 |
| 1943 | 20 | 17 | 8 | 0 |
| 1944 | 20 | 19 | 13 | 8 |
| 1945 | 25 | 23 | 16 | 14 |
| 1946 | 25 | 23 | 15 | 17 |



Origin and destination matrices were manually created in Excel and indexed travel time value from Conveyal into cells corresponding to their respective origin and destination combinations. A red to green color gradient was applied to the cells to show each value relative to the others. The outcome was a series of matrices that could be analyzed independently or compared with each other:



Figure 6 - Full Matrix

BxM4C Ridership Profile

The BxM4C boarding and alighting values indicate that the route is predominantly used as a commuter bus for getting from lower Westchester to upper Manhattan.

Figure 7 shows the distribution of boardings on the Westchester portion of the bus route. 10 riders, an above average amount, board at the first stop—presumably this stop attracts all riders north of it as it is the first on the line. There is a large spike of boardings that occur in the middle of the Westchester portion at stops 461, 467, and 469. The amount of boardings spike again towards the end of the route at stops 783, 785, and the last stop before Manhattan; 792.

Once the bus has collected the majority of its ridership boarding in Westchester, it heads south into Manhattan where the majority of the route's alightings occur. The first Manhattan stop, 3069 at 5th Ave @ 98th St, has the most alightings and then alightings are generally evenly distributed across the subsequent Manhattan stops, but decrease just after stop 1945 at 5th Ave @ 35th St.

Rider behavior indicates that most people board at the middle/lower portions of Westchester and alight at the upper/middle of Manhattan. This ridership profile provided the foundation for constructing truncation scenarios.



Figure 7 - BxM4C Distribution of Westchester Boardings



Figure 8 - BxM4C Distribution of Manhattan Alightings



With and Without BxM4C

Figure 9 displays the ten O-D pairs with the largest travel time differences between the current BxM4C service and the elimination of the BxM4C scenarios run in Conveyal (see Appendix X for full list). Comparison of these two scenarios reveals that the largest impact is when leaving Stop 779 (Central Park Ave. @ Palmer Rd.) bound for Stop 2968 (5th Ave. @ 69th St.). Under current service levels, travel time is 44 minutes, which jumps to 68 minutes if the BxM4C is removed—a 24-minute difference. The "Counts W" and "Count W/O" columns display how many different optimal pathways Conveyal sends a rider from an origin to a destination during the timeframe. The "Transfer" related columns calculate how many transfers occur **in total across all counts**. The increase in counts and transfers with the removal of the BxM4C is misleading due to an underlying data issue. When the BxM4C is removed from service, Conveyal reroutes synthetic riders through the transit network ecosystem to get them to their destination. Since the fastest routing is no longer available, new routes utilizing more transfers are required, resulting in the higher count and transfer values.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Avg | Avg | Avg |
|---------|-------------------|--------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|------------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Origin | | Destin | ation | | Time | Time | w-w/o | Counts | Counts | Dif | Transfers | Transfers | Transfers | Transfers | Transfers | Transfers |
| Stop 💌 | Origin Desc | 💌 Stop | - | Destination Desc | - w - | w/o 🔻 | Dif Time 🚽 | w 💌 | w/o 🔻 | Counts 💌 | w 💌 | w/o 🔽 | Diff 🛛 🔽 | w 💌 | w/o 🔽 | Dif 🗾 💌 |
| | CENTRAL PARK A | VE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 779 | @ PALMER RD | | 2968 | 5TH AVE @ 69TH ST | r 44 | 68 | 24 | 13 | 16 | 3 | 24 | 33 | 9 | 1.846154 | 2.0625 | 0.2163462 |
| | CENTRAL PARK A | V | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | @ JEFFREY PK | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 457 | SOUTH | | 2968 | 5TH AVE @ 69TH ST | r 54 | 77 | 23 | 15 | 18 | 3 | 35 | 44 | 9 | 2.333333 | 2.4444444 | 0.1111111 |
| | CENTRAL PARK A | VE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 779 | @ PALMER RD | | 3069 | 5TH AVE @ 98TH ST | г 38 | 61 | . 23 | 10 | 17 | 7 | 18 | 35 | 17 | 1.8 | 2.0588235 | 0.2588235 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CENTRAL PARK A | VE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 792 | @ MCLEAN AVE | | 3069 | 5TH AVE @ 98TH ST | Г 31 | . 54 | 23 | 11 | 29 | 18 | 24 | 70 | 46 | 2.181818 | 2.4137931 | 0.2319749 |
| Grocery | Grocery Store nea | ar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Store | Central/McLean | | 3069 | 5TH AVE @ 98TH ST | г 33 | 56 | 23 | 11 | 30 | 19 | 24 | 72 | 48 | 2.181818 | 2.4 | 0.2181818 |
| | CENTRAL PARK A | V | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | @ JEFFREY PK | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 457 | SOUTH | | 3069 | 5TH AVE @ 98TH ST | r 48 | 70 | 22 | 13 | 20 | 7 | 30 | 49 | 19 | 2.307692 | 2.45 | 0.1423077 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CENTRAL PARK A | VE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 792 | @ MCLEAN AVE | | 2968 | 5TH AVE @ 69TH ST | г 37 | 59 | 22 | 12 | 20 | 8 | 24 | 42 | 18 | 2 | 2.1 | 0.1 |
| Grocery | Grocery Store ne | ar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Store | Central/McLean | | 2968 | 5TH AVE @ 69TH ST | г 39 | 61 | . 22 | 12 | 22 | 10 | 24 | 46 | 22 | 2 2 | 2.0909091 | 0.0909091 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CENTRAL PARK A | VE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 778 | @ ARLINGTON ST | • | 2968 | 5TH AVE @ 69TH ST | r 45 | 66 | 21 | 10 | 16 | 6 | 17 | 33 | 16 | 1.7 | 2.0625 | 0.3625 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CENTRAL PARK A | VE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 778 | @ ARLINGTON ST | | 3069 | 5TH AVE @ 98TH ST | Г 39 | 60 | 21 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 13 | 27 | 14 | 1.625 | 1.9285714 | 0.3035714 |

Figure 9 - O-D Pair Difference Table

This matrix subtracts the travel time values of each cell in the No-BxM4C scenario from the corresponding cell in the BxM4C scenario. Like the previous matrices, green is "0" and the highest value is red. Since Conveyal calculates the fastest way to get from an origin to a destination within the entire transit network ecosystem, a value of "0" is displayed in this matrix if there is no time impact due to the removal of BxM4C service or another route could get the rider from their origin to the same destination with no time difference. Origin stops 457-792 traveling to destination stops 3069, 1941, and 2968 form red a rectangle in the matrix indicating that if the BxM4C is completely removed from service, these are the most heavily impacted origin-destination pairs according to the parameters set. Removal of the BxM4C would most severely impact the people in lower Westchester who work in upper Manhattan. The set of stops in upper Manhattan offer a potential range of destinations to be analyzed as service truncation locations.



Figure 10 - Difference of Travel Time Between BxM4C and No BxM4C – Southbound, 5th Percentile

Truncation Matrices

This matrix shows the travel time difference in minutes between the BxM4C at current service levels and the BxM4C truncated at stop 3069. The four following matrices compare an additional four truncation scenarios against the current service level (truncation at 1941, 2968, 1942, and 1943, respectively). Combined, these five matrices constitute a sensitivity analysis that allowed the Research Team to identify the stop from which further truncation of the BxM4C resulted in diminishing returns of travel time savings. The shape progression of each truncation matrix tells an impact story. In the first truncation matrix, the route ends at stop 3069. All origins going to this destination have "0" difference in travel time as nothing has changed except that this is the last stop on the truncated BxM4C in this scenario. The stops immediately after are impacted greatly—about 8 minutes extra time to get to 1941, 12 minutes to 2968, and so on.

| | | D CLARKST | @ MCIEAN | e esthist | @Bath51 | e sartis | E SISTST | E@43H051 | (@35TH-5T | | @STH AVE | nere | Dent Boat | Beginne | 5ª | tate But ino |
|------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------------|
| | | STHAN | STHA | STHAN | STHAN | STHA | 5TH A | STHAN | and P | 23805 | Time | Theatr | Hanne | e Henting | Empire | Masour |
| | Stop ID | 3069 | 1941 | 2968 | 1942 | 1943 | 1944 | 1945 | 1946 | 1947 | Dest. | Dest. | Dest. | Dest. | Dest. | Dest. |
| CENTRAL AVE @ HARDING AVE | 432 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | C | i c | j o | 0 | 0 |
| CENTRAL AVE @ CROSS ST | 433 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |) (|) (| 0 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ DALEWOOD DR | 436 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | . 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | C C |) Q | 1 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ E HARTSDALE AVE | 441 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | . 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | | C C |) Q | 1 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ MARION AVE | 445 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 6 | E | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 1 | 1 2 | 2 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ UNDERHILL RD | 450 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | | C C | 1 1 | 1 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ ARDSLEY RD | 453 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | | C C |) O | 1 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ FOUNTAIN LA | 2514 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 2 | 4 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AV @ JEFFREY PK SOUTH | 457 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 2 | : 6 | 8 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ FORT HILL RD | 3327 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 1 5 | 7 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ ROXBURY DR | 461 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 7 | | 1 4 | 7 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ MELROSE AVE | 465 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 6 | | 1 4 | 6 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ SADORE LA | 467 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 3 | 4 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ TUCKAHOE RD | 469 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 1 | 1 3 | 3 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ ARLINGTON ST | 778 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 7 | | 1 5 | 7 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ PALMER RD | 779 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 8 | | 1 6 | 8 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ MIDLAND AVE | 780 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 4 | 6 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ STAUNTON ST | 783 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 6 | 7 | | 1 5 | 7 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ YONKERS AVE | 785 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 5 | i c | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ CLARK ST | 786 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 3 | 4 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ MCLEAN AVE | 792 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 1 6 | 7 | 0 |
| 5THAVE @ 98TH ST | 3069 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | | l C | 2 | . 1 | 0 |
| 5TH AVE @ 85TH ST | 1941 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | . C | 2 | . 1 | 0 |
| 5THAVE @ 69TH ST | 2968 | 0 | · 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | ; 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | : 3 | ; 5 | 2 | 0 |
| 5THAVE @ 59TH ST | 1942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (C |) 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5TH AVE @ 51ST ST | 1943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | (C | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5TH AVE @ 43RD ST | 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |) 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (C |) 0 | 0 | 0 |
| STHAVE @ 35TH ST | 1945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |) C |) 0 | 0 | 0 |

Figure 11 - Truncation at Stop 3069 Matrix

The difference values gradually decrease as the BxM4C truncation is extended to stops farther down the line. There is a noticeable reduction of difference when the route is truncated at stop 1942 after which nearly all the remaining stops are only a 4-minute increase in travel time. It is the analysts' interpretation that at this stop, there are sufficient additional transit options available to connect riders with their destinations. The last matrix was constructed showing the BxM4C stopping at 1943. The impact of adding this stop is negligeable—most subsequent stops maintain the 4-minute increase. This marks the point of diminishing returns leading the final interpretation of the analysis as a recommendation that if the BxM4C were selected for truncation, that 1942 being the last stop would cause the least travel time increases.



Figure 15 - Truncation at Stop 1941 Matrix



Figure 13 - Truncation at Stop 1942 Matrix



Figure 14 - Truncation at Stop 2968 Matrix



Figure 12 - Truncation at Stop 1943 Matrix

Discussion

Limitations

At several points during the pilot project, the Research Team had to narrow the focus of analysis and maximize output efficacy. The first decision was made at the beginning of the pilot that all synthetic trips, both through OTP and Conveyal, were to be restricted to the middle of October of 2019 for three reasons:

Universities and other education facilities are in session marking the likely high point for ridership in a given year.

Seasonal travel and transit trip deviations are minimized. Mid-October has relatively mild weather and does not have major travel-impacting holidays. Traveler behavior is most likely to be typical and fall under predictable patterns.

Travel behavior was changed dramatically with the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, leaving 2019 to be considered the last "normal" year in the transportation community.

Initially, Conveyal was configured to use the following parameters for output: Both the AM and PM Peak Times (and South- and Northbound Directionality) 5th, 50th, and 95th Percentiles 90 Minute Cut-Offs

The first several analyses using these parameters produced an unwieldy quantity of data to analyze. Six matrices were produced during the first iteration of post-processing:

Southbound, AM, 5% Southbound, AM, 50% Southbound, AM, 95% Northbound, PM, 5% Northbound, PM, 50% Northbound, PM, 95%

The Research Team decided to focus primarily on the Southbound AM portion of the BxM4C, providing the opportunity for deeper analyses. The AM time-period was expanded to encompass 5:00am to 10:00am with the maximum 120-minute cutoff allowed by Conveyal to address concerns that the initial AM time-period selected would be insufficient for the synthetic riders to reach their destinations due to the length and duration of the route.

The Research Team chose to focus on the 5th percentile ridership because of the assumption that it best represents actual ridership behavior. Most of the BxM4C ridership is utilizing the bus as a means to commute to work in the city and therefore it is mostly the case the riders are planning to arrive at the bus stop at the optimal time. The matrices in this report are all 5th percentile results. The 50th percentile

results show a higher differential between the BxM4C truncated service scenarios and normal service. The 95th percentile results show the greatest differentials.

At the conclusion of the project The Research Team received on-time service data that shows cumulative delay of the bus service as it moves from north to south. The bus arrives on average 5-10 minutes later than the GTFS schedule in the Bronx and up to 15 minutes late to the bus stops at the southern end of the service in Midtown Manhattan. Therefore, the Research Team concludes that utilizing the 5th percentile most likely underestimates the impact of removal or truncation of the BxM4C specifically in the areas above stop 1942 where riders have fewer service options.

Lessons Learned

Conveyal Analysis - Travel Parameters Should be All-Encompassing

As noted in the Interpretation section above, initial analyses indicated that some trips were not being accounted for based on overly restrictive time parameters. Time constraints in future similar analyses should be closely aligned with the schedules of the routes being analyzed.

Excel Matrix Processing- Hardware Matters

Origin-Destination matrices generated with the Excel INDEX methodology described in the Technical Analysis section are highly computer processor intensive. Excel's INDEX function scans through all data defined by the user, checks against the user's specified parameters, and then returns the matching value. The initial Conveyal output was a comma separated value (CSV) file with 23,232 entries (88 Origins * 88 Destinations * 3 [5th, 50th, 95th percentiles]). Each cell in the matrix is calculated individually. The CPU used to process the matrices for this project was a higher-end processor that performs, on average, above the 97th percentile of user-benchmarks compared to other processors at the time of this research⁸. With a higher-end processor, full matrix calculations were processed in approximately 13 minutes, while single-direction matrices (i.e., southbound only) were processed in approximately 7 minutes.

Excel Matrix Processing - Duplicate Matrices as "Values Only" Sheets

Due to the long processing times needed to construct the matrices, the team recommends copying a matrix and pasting it as "Values Only" to a new sheet. Doing so removes all the calculations and recreates the matrices using only the values that were indexed to each cell. This eliminates unnecessary processing time that can occur if the user attempts to make any changes to the matrix (e.g., removing unnecessary entries).

⁸ UserBenchmark: AMD Ryzen 7 5800X 100-100000063WOF. (n.d.). UserBenchmark. Retrieved December 23, 2022, from

https://cpu.userbenchmark.com/AMD-Ryzen-7-5800X/Rating/4085

Software Assessment

Open Trip Planner (OTP)

The Research Team began the route removal analysis outlined in the initial pilot project workplan using OTP. Setting up a webhosted instance of OTP required an in-house software engineer using a bundle of regionally relevant GTFS files compiled by the analysts.

Once the instance was up and running, the user interface was simple and intuitive. The analyst selects the start and end locations with coordinates or by clicking the map. The user sets a

simple set of parameters—which can be slightly flexible if the analyst is comfortable coding their own parameters in the proper OTP syntax.

OTP was determined to be insufficient to generate origin and destination matrices efficiently. Itinerary outputs provided the travel time, but only for one origin-destination pair per analysis in the format shown in Figure 15. To generate the matrices required by the work plan, the analyst would need to perform the same analysis for each O-D pair of interest and manually construct an output table. The Research Team instead opted to use an alternate software.

| Trip Options | — × | Mahwah Nanuet | Mount Ple |
|---|-----------|---|------------|
| Start: (41.03667, -73.77978) | • FI | Ramsey Pearl River | Electory |
| End: (40.76480, -73.97262) | ▼ | Irvingte | on whi |
| Depart × 5:45am 10/16/2019 Now | | Ekoff Dobbs Fe | erry |
| | | Ridgewood | Scarsda |
| Travel by: Transit | ~ | GlenRock | S JA |
| Preferred Routes: (None) | Edit | Fair Lawn Recoonfield Yonkers | Luckahoe |
| Weight: | | erson | LNew Ro |
| | | 10 Hackensack | Pelham Mar |
| Dannea routes: (None) | Edit | lifton Hasbrouck | Y |
| Wheelchair accesible trip: | | Heights Fort Lee | 5 2 1 |
| Show Filtered Itineraries: | | Nutley Cliffside Park | B |
| Additional parameters: | | lorth Arlington Unior City | 1 |
| searchWindow=366 | | le la | 1 |
| # timetableView=false waitReluctance=0.5 | | wark Hoboken | 20- |
| # walkSpeed=1.7 | | New York | X |



| 6:02am | 🛱 0028 7:17am |
|--|--|
| Start : 6:02a | m, 10/16/2019 |
| WALK 0 | .12 miles, 2 mins to CENTRAL AVE @ HARDING AVE |
| • BUS: Be Avenue | e-Line Bus, 0028 28 - BxM4C to Express - Fifth |
| 6:05am | Board at CENTRAL AVE @ HARDING AVE Stop #432 [Stop Viewer] |
| | Time in transit: 1 hr, 10 mins [<u>Trip Viewer</u>] Route ID: 1:16935 Trip ID: 1:917654 Service Date: 2019-10-16 |
| | 23 Intermediate Stops |
| 7:15am | Alight at 5TH AVE @ 59TH ST |
| | otop - 1942 (otop viewei) |
| • WALK 3 | 72 feet, 1 min to Destination |
| • WALK 3 End: 7:17an | 72 feet, 1 min to Destination n, 10/16/2019 |
| → WALK 3 End: 7:17an Trip Sum | 72 feet, 1 min to Destination n, 10/16/2019 |
| → WALK 3 End: 7:17an Trip Sum Travel Time Weight/Cc Total Walk Transfers Fare | 72 feet, 1 min to Destination n, 10/16/2019 mary 6:02am, 10/16/2019 1 hr, 14 mins st 5294 c 0.19 miles 0 N/A |
| WALK 3 End: 7:17an Trip Sum Travel Time Weight/Co Total Walk Transfers Fare Valid Dec : | 72 feet, 1 min to Destination n, 10/16/2019 Imary 6:02am, 10/16/2019 1 hr, 14 mins 0st 5294 c 0.19 miles 0 N/A 21st 2022, 9:51am Link to Itinerary Print Email |
| WALK 3 End: 7:17an Trip Sum Travel Time Weight/Co Total Walk Transfers Fare Valid Dec 3 | 72 feet, 1 min to Destination n, 10/16/2019 Imary 6:02am, 10/16/2019 1 hr, 14 mins pst 5294 0 N/A 21st 2022, 9:51am Link to Itinerary Print Email 52cm } 62cm } |
| → WALK 3 End: 7:17an Trip Sum Travel Time Weight/Co Total Walk Transfers Fare Valid Dec : 2. 3. | 72 feet, 1 min to Destination n, 10/16/2019 Imary 6:02am, 10/16/2019 1 hr, 14 mins sts 5294 c 0.19 miles 0 N/A 21st 2022, 9:51am Link to Itinerary Print Email 522am ; @ 0028 6:17am ; |

Figure 17 - OTP Output

Conveyal

The first major hurdle with Conveyal was establishing an instance. Setting up an instance of Conveyal required an inhouse software engineer to establish the webhosted instance and then guide the Research Team through the process of building a network bundle to create the transit network ecosystem.

The setup process required the analysts to clone a GitHub repository constructed by the software engineer to their local machine. The repository served as a centralized location to store region bundle dependent files and as the software compiler. The analyst and the

| Williamate |
|-----------------------|
| Greece |
| ochester, Walworth |
| Henrietta |
| Mendae |
| 2 Parmington |
| Canandaigua Ganand |
| needs Richmond Gotham |
| |
| Crowlen Page Yan |
| Derastle |
| |
| |
| |

Figure 18 - Conveyal Region Setup

software engineer together gathered all the regionally relevant GTFS files and OpenStreetMap data to create the region bundles and save them to the Github repository. The analysts then used the repository to compile and generate the files necessary to set up the transit network ecosystem in the Conveyal user interface. All this setup is highly technical and would likely require software support for transit agency and MPO staff to complete.

Once the ecosystem was finished, the Research Team had to review and modify the list of stop locations desired in the analysis. This list can be found in the appendix under the title, **"Full List of Origins and Destinations Selected for Analyses"**. After all components were established, the first analyses could be run.

Based on the analysts' initial interactions with the Conveyal user interface, the Research Team determined that the software was not necessarily intuitive. After progressive and repetitive usage, the "Analyze" pane where parameters are set became more approachable but navigating a workflow remained unintuitive.

Conveyal's value is in the software's flexibility in designing analyses. The parameter interface allows the user to customize many major parameters a transit analyst would expect to be present.

| Project | : | Scena | rio | | | | | Active p | reset | Save + | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|---------|-----|----------|--------|-------|----------|---------|-------------------|--|--|
| BxM4C Analysis w/ Des | | Trun | cated B | xM4 | 1C (2968 | 3) ~ | | Save | presets | to be used later. | | |
| Access mode | | | | Tra | ansit mo | des | | | | Egress mode | | |
| x 🗠 🛱 🖸 | All | R | т | ₽ | Ð | ۰ | С | G | F | ۵۵ ار | | |
| Date | From time | e | | | To time | | | | Maxim | um transfers | | |
| 10 / 16 / 2019 🛛 🕲 | 05:00 | | HH:mn | 1 | 10:00 | | H | l:mm | 3 | | | |
| Walk speed | Max walk | k time Decay Funct | | | | | n | 0 | Simula | ted schedules | | |
| 5 km/h | 30 | | minute | S | Step | | | ~ | 200 | | | |
| Routing engine | | | | | Bounds | of ana | lysis | | | Set custom 🥒 | | |
| v6.4 (recommended) | | Entire region | | | | | | | | ~ | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | k 4> | | |

Figure 19 - Conveyal Parameters Menu

Additionally, the software supports a custom analysis request through an integrated configuration component accessible by clicking the "</>" button in the bottom right of the parameters interface. This custom analysis configuration request JSON editor allows the analyst to enter and define additional supported variables providing the analyst with deeper customization options and flexibility.

| Customize analysis request |
|----------------------------------|
| { |
| "accessModes": "WALK", |
| "bikeSpeed": 4.1666666666666667, |
| "bikeTrafficStress": 4, |
| "date": "2019-10-16", |
| "decayFunction": { |
| "type": "step", |
| "standardDeviationMinutes": 10, |
| "widthMinutes": 10 |
| }, |
| "destinationPointSetIds": [], |
| "directModes": "WALK", |
| "egressModes": "WALK", |
| "fromTime": 18000, |
| "maxBikeTime": 20, |
| "maxRides": 4, |
| "maxWalkTime": 30, |
| "monteCarloDraws": 200, |
| "percentiles": [|
| 5, |
| 25, |
| 50, |
| 75, |
| 95 |
| J. |

Figure 20 - Conveyal JSON Editor

Replicability

Conveyal's back-end setup and configuring required a collaborative effort between the software developer and analysts—a resource intensive process. Post-processing the output data required a robust technological environment, both hardware and expertise. Subsequently, replicating this project would require third party.

Recommendations

The high boarding data in lower Westchester and the high alighting data along Central Park (stops 2968, 1942, and 1943) strongly suggests that the BxM4C ridership is also the geographic/demographic being most served by the BxM4C. Based on the outcomes of the analysis, it is determined that removal of the BxM4C would most severely impact the people living in lower Westchester who work in upper/middle Manhattan. Removal of the BxM4C would most severely impact this demographic by increasing inbound travel times by anywhere from 20-50minutes depending on commute start time and route schedule optimization by those riders.

Based on these findings the Research Team recommends conducting a cost/benefit analysis on the cost of truncating the service at 2 potential stop locations – at 1942 at the lower corner of Central Park, and at 1943 near Rockefeller Center.

The Research Team also recommends the Conveyal software for further exploration for shared use in New York State but notes that it would require purchase of support services from either Conveyal or from a third-party to support transit planners in designing analyses and in producing meaningful outcomes.



ArcGIS Network Analyst | Vehicle Routing Problem & Spatial Network Analysis. (n.d.). Retrieved December 23,

2022, from https://www.esri.com/en-us/arcgis/products/arcgis-network-analyst/overview

Bee-Line Bus Schedules and Maps. (n.d.). Retrieved December 22, 2022, from

https://transportation.westchestergov.com/bee-line-

bus/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=1176&Itemid=123

Conveyal-Evaluate changes to your public transportation system. (n.d.). Retrieved December 23, 2022, from

https://conveyal.com/

Google Transit. (n.d.). Google Developers. Retrieved December 23, 2022, from

https://developers.google.com/transit

Lahoorpoor, B., & Levinson, D. M. (2019). *The Transit Travel Time Machine: Comparing Three Different Tools* for Travel Time Estimation [Working Paper]. <u>https://ses.library.usyd.edu.au/handle/2123/21351</u>

OpenTripPlanner 2. (n.d.). Retrieved December 23, 2022, from https://docs.opentripplanner.org/en/v2.2.0/

UserBenchmark: AMD Ryzen 7 5800X 100-100000063WOF. (n.d.). UserBenchmark. Retrieved December 23,

2022, from https://cpu.userbenchmark.com/AMD-Ryzen-7-5800X/Rating/4085

Westchester Mobility. (n.d.). Westchester. Retrieved December 22, 2022, from

https://www.westchestermobility.org

Appendix A: Technical Analysis

Initially, the workplan proposed the following:

"The Research Team will be using TBEST, Open Trip Planner, and/or ESRI Network Analyst to review the local bus service versus the current express route. Using travel times, comparisons will be made to the Metro North Station. Additionally, overall travel time to New York City with and without the express bus service will be compared."

The Research Team began conducting this pilot using the outlined methodology, but quickly deviated for a few reasons. Primarily, the Conveyal software had yet to be selected for assessment in any of the pilot studies and could provide an appropriate test environment for this analysis. Additionally, the Conveyal software's support for this discrete analysis was determined to be more efficient than utilizing up to three other software tools.

A transit network ecosystem was established in the back end to set up an instance of Conveyal, which is addressed in detail in the "Software Assessment" section. Establishing a "transit network ecosystem" involves selecting and formatting all GTFS files that the software will attempt to transport a synthetic rider on. The following GTFS systems were packaged together to create the ecosystem:

MTA New York City Transit Long Island Railroad Metro-North Railroad MTA Bus Company Suffolk County Transit Bee-Line Bus **Note**: Nassau County GTFS was initially included in the package but was removed due to a processing conflict.

Next, the software required a list of locations formatted as a CSV file with three columns: stop_id (name of the location), stop_lat (location latitude), and stop_lon (location longitude). During the preliminary stages of the pilot project, the list of locations consisted solely of stops along the BxM4C, but as the project progressed, it was revised several times. A full list of the origins and destinations can be found in the appendix.

| | А | В | С | | | | |
|---|---------|----------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | stop_id | stop_lat | stop_lon | | | | |
| 2 | 1941 | 40.78059 | -73.9612 | | | | |
| 3 | 1942 | 40.76435 | -73.9735 | | | | |
| 4 | 1943 | 40.75927 | -73.9772 | | | | |
| 5 | 1944 | 40.75398 | -73.9806 | | | | |
| 6 | 1945 | 40.7496 | -73.9843 | | | | |
| 7 | 1946 | 40.74334 | -73.9884 | | | | |
| 8 | 1947 | 40.74128 | -73.9891 | | | | |

Figure 21 - O-D CSV

After the transit network ecosystem is established and the final list of relevant locations is selected, parameters need to be defined to determine the behavior and priorities.

The Conveyal software provides a user interface for defining parameters. This image depicts the final selection of parameters that were modeled.

Synthetic riders were determined to access and egress all types of available transit by walking. Two-hundred





simulated schedules were generated to take place between 5:00am and 10:00am. Synthetic riders were defined as having a walk speed of 5 km/h and only willing to walk for 30 minutes and make 3 transfers at most.

| Create new regiona | al analysis 🕕 🛛 🗙 🗙 |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Regional analysis name | * |
| Truncated at 2968 | |
| Origin points * | |
| Westchester BxM4C R | toute 28 Stops w/ Destinatio 🗸 🗸 |
| Analysis will run for Destination opportunity | or 88 origin points |
| Westchester BxM4C Rou | te 28 Stops w/ Destination X |
| Select up to 12 layers. | |
| Cutoff minutes * | Percentiles * |
| 120 | 5, 50, 95 |
| From 5 to 120. | From 1 to 99. |
| | th Create Capcel |

Figure 23 - Conveyal Regional Analysis Menu

The final set of parameters are defined when the analysis is converted into a regional analysis. At this phase, the analyst defined both the origin and destination points as the same set of points. The intent was to create a matrix assessing travel time from each origin point to each destination point. Cutoff Minutes, or the total duration that a synthetic trip could take before being determined invalid, was set to 120 minutes.

Finally, the percentiles Conveyal was to output were determined. If all the travel times from every trip possible within all other parameters were mapped on a bar graph, the graph would have a normal distribution. The 5th percentile indicates the travel times under the top 5% performing circumstances (i.e., as though a synthetic rider arrived at the bus stop just as the bus was arriving at the stop). The 50th percentile shows the average circumstances. Finally, the 95th percentile shows the worst-case scenario—the synthetic rider sees

the bus they intended to catch drive away as they arrive at the stop and now must wait for the next bus.

Using the outlined parameters, outputs were generated for both existing conditions and a scenario in which the BxM4C was completely removed from service. Additionally, Conveyal supports the use of scenario modifications that allow the user to adjust a transit system and perform analyses on the adjusted scenario. The screenshot below depicts the "Remove Stops" modification in which all the red-highlighted stops were removed from the line.



Figure 24 - Conveyal Scenario Modification Menu

The Research Team performed multiple variations of this modification, each truncating the BxM4C at different stops. After each modification, the network analysis was run, the travel time CSV output was downloaded, and the data was converted into one or more matrices following the indexing process outlined further in this section. The following stops were selected for truncation analyses:

3069 - 5TH AVE @ 98TH ST 1941 - 5TH AVE @ 85TH ST 2968 - 5TH AVE @ 69TH ST 1942 - 5TH AVE @ 59TH ST 1943 - 5TH AVE @ 51ST ST

Conveyal provides five potential outputs from an analysis: GeoTIFF, Scenario and Modification JSON, Paths CSV, Times CSV, Access CSV. The majority of our analyses utilized Times CSV outputs like the example below:

| origin | destination | percentile | time |
|--------|-------------|------------|------|
| 1941 | 1942 | 5 | 11 |
| 1941 | 1943 | 5 | 13 |
| 1941 | 1944 | 5 | 15 |
| 1941 | 1945 | 5 | 17 |
| 1941 | 1946 | 5 | 19 |

Figure 25 - Conveyal CSV Output

These spreadsheets depict the travel time from an origin to a destination for the selected percentile. The first row indicates that if a rider is within the 5th percentile of trip travel time, then going from stop

| origin | destination | percentile | BxM4C time | no BxM4C | difference | diff values |
|--------|-------------|------------|------------|----------|------------|-------------|
| 1941 | 1942 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 3 | 3 |
| 1941 | 1943 | 5 | 10 | 13 | 3 | 3 |
| 1941 | 1944 | 5 | 12 | 15 | 3 | 3 |
| 1941 | 1945 | 5 | 15 | 17 | 2 | 2 |

1941 to 1942 will be 11 minutes. The multiple scenarios and modifications outlined in the Analysis Design section were exported, combined, and compared to each other:

Figure 26 - Conveyal CSV Outputs Combined

The first row in this comparison indicates that, in the fifth percentile, it takes 8 minutes to travel from stop 1941 to 1942 with the BxM4C and 11 minutes if the route were removed from service. This results in an increase of 3 minutes of travel time as shown in the "difference" column. *Note: Since the contents of the "diff" column were calculated using an Excel equation (=[@[no bxm4c]]-[@[bxm time]]), they could not be indexed correctly into the matrices—so they were duplicated as "values only" into a new column—"diff values".*

The final outputs of the team's analyses were a series of matrices. These matrices were initially constructed manually, but as it became an iterative process, a formula was developed so the analyst could make use of a matrix template with the equations. The equation uses the 'INDEX' function to copy information from the Conveyal output and the 'MATCH' function to identify which information to index. The colors in the full equation shown below are coordinated with their respective cells in the table screenshot below.

=INDEX('Conveyal Output'!\$D:\$D, MATCH(1, ('SB, 5% 5-10AM, TT BxM4C'!D\$1='Conveyal Output'!\$B:\$B)*('SB, 5% 5-10AM, TT BxM4C'!\$A4='Conveyal Output'!\$A:\$A)*('SB, 5% 5-10AM, TT BxM4C'!\$A\$1='Conveyal Output'!\$C:\$C),0)

Each time travel cell in the matrix contains the equation above that matches the associated origin (light orange), destination (blue), and percentile (purple) with the corresponding columns on the Conveyal output CSV. The green highlighted cell can be dragged across the spreadsheet to duplicate the equation for each destination, then the entire row is highlighted and dragged down to perform the index/match with all origin/destination pairs on the sheet.





This method was faster and easier than manually creating the matrices but still requires a substantial amount of uninterrupted processing time—approximately 7 to 13 minutes.

Once the INDEX function has completed processing for all cells, the matrix fills in the values from the output data corresponding with each origin/destination/percentile combination.

To facilitate analysis interpretation, the data was highlighted and "Conditional Formatting" of "Red – Yellow – Green Scale" was selected. This made all 0 travel time

valued cells green, the highest travel time value cell red, and then range between scaling yellow to orange from smallest to largest. The result is a color-coded origin-destination matrix showing travel times from each origin to each destination under the analyses' conditions.

For the first scenario, 9 total matrices were constructed—the 5th, 50th, and 95th percentile each had a matrix with three versions: All Stops, Northbound Stops Only, and Southbound Stops Only. During analysis interpretation, the Research Team agreed to concentrate their efforts to focus on Southbound Stops Only at the 5th percentile.

| | | / | / | / | / | | | | |
|---|---------|-----|-----|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| | Stop ID | 432 | 433 | 436 | 441 | | | | |
| | 432 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 8 | | | | |
| | 433 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 6 | | | | |
| | 436 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | | | | |
| | 441 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 0 | | | | |
| | 445 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 5 | | | | |
| | 450 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 9 | | | | |
| | 453 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 9 | | | | |
| | 2514 | 18 | 18 | 15 | 13 | | | | |
| | 457 | 17 | 19 | 17 | 13 | | | | |
| | 3327 | 18 | 20 | 18 | 14 | | | | |
| 1 | 461 | | 100 | 1 400 | 1 400 | | | | |

| Stop ID | 432 | 433 | 436 | 441 |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|------|
| 432 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 8 |
| 433 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| 436 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 441 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 0 |
| 445 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 5 |
| 450 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 9 |
| 453 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 9 |
| 2514 | 18 | 18 | 15 | 13 |
| 457 | 17 | 19 | 17 | 13 |
| 3327 | 18 | 20 | 18 | - 14 |
| 461 | 18 | 20 | 19 | 14 |

Figure 28 - Matrix Conditional Formatting

Travel Time – Southbound, 5th Percentile, BxM4C (Existing Conditions)

This matrix shows the travel time from and to all southbound stops and destinations for the 5th percentile with the BxM4C as it is. Conveyal calculates the fastest way to get from an origin to a destination within the entire transit network ecosystem. The "Stop ID" column and row are both listed in route beginning-to-end sequential order. The darkest green values are "0" travel time (i.e., same origin as destination). A diagonal line of these non-travel values can be seen through the spreadsheet. Since this portion of the route is one-directional and linear, a rider cannot arrive at a destination before their origin. Subsequently, all values below the "0" line can be disregarded. All values are colored on a gradient scale of green to red indicating lowest to highest travel times.



Figure 29 - Travel Time – Southbound, 5th Percentile, BxM4C (Existing Conditions)

Travel Time – Southbound, 5th Percentile, No BxM4C

This matrix shows the travel time from and to all southbound stops and destinations for the 5th percentile if the BxM4C were completely removed from service. At a glance, these two matrices are very similar, so a new type of matrix was constructed to highlight the differences in the scenarios (next page).



Figure 30 - Travel Time – Southbound, 5th Percentile, No BxM4C

Difference of Travel Time Between BxM4C and No BxM4C – Southbound, 5th Percentile

Generating the difference matrix used an excel formula where the travel time value of the "BxM4C" scenario matrix cell was subtracted from the same cell location on the "No BxM4C" scenario matrix (='SB, 5% 5-10AM, TT NoBxM4C'!D4-'SB, 5% 5-10AM, TT BxM4C'!D4). Like the previous matrices, green is "O" and the highest value is red. Since Conveyal calculates the fastest way to get from an origin to a destination within the entire transit network ecosystem, if the BxM4C is removed and there is no impact or another route could get the rider from their origin to the same destination with no time difference, then the time travel value will be a "O" in this matrix. Within this difference matrix, a very clear problem area emerges: Origin stops 457-792 traveling to destination stops 3069, 1941, and 2968.



Figure 31 - Difference of Travel Time Between BxM4C and No BxM4C – Southbound, 5th Percentile

O-D Pair Difference Table

Using two outputs from Conveyal, the TIMES and PATHS .CSV files, the Research Team constructed a table (using the same INDEX/MATCH methodology as in the matrices) to sort and compare BxM4C to No-BxM4C outputs. The "Counts" related columns detail how many different pathways a rider could take to make it from that origin to that destination. Count values were generated with the equation "=COUNTIFS('Paths Output BxM4C (Values)'!\$A:\$A,A2,'Paths Output BxM4C (Values)'!\$B:\$B,B2)", with A2 being the origin and B2 being the destination. The equation scans through the PATHS .CSV output file and returns the number of times that the specified O-D pair is found together. The "Transfer" related columns calculate how many transfers occur in **total across all counts**. Calculating number of transfers requires a new column in the PATHS output with the equation "=LEN([@routes])-LEN(SUBSTITUTE([@routes],"|",""))". Since Conveyal distinguishes when a transfer occurs using the "|" symbol, this equation counts the occurrences of that symbol within the "routes" column and returns a numerical value of the times that the symbol appeared. Then, in the O-D Difference Table, the Transfers column uses "=SUMIFS(Table7[Number of Transfers], Table7[origin], [@origin],Table7[destination], [@destination])" to search the PATHS file for the O-D combination and then sum together all values returned by the former equation (aggregating the transfers for all counts).

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Avg | Avg | Avg |
|---------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------|--------------------|------|-------|------------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Origin | | Destin | ation | | Time | Time | w-w/o | Counts | Counts | Dif | Transfers | Transfers | Transfers | Transfers | Transfers | Transfers |
| Stop 💌 | Origin Desc | Stop | - | Destination Desc 💌 | w 🝷 | w/o 🔻 | Dif Time 斗 | w 💌 | w/o🔽 | Counts 💌 | w 💌 | w/o 🔽 | Diff 🗾 💌 | w 💌 | w/o 🔽 | Dif 🗾 💌 |
| | CENTRAL PARK A | VE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 779 | @ PALMER RD | | 2968 | 5TH AVE @ 69TH ST | 44 | 68 | 24 | 13 | 16 | 3 | 24 | 33 | 9 | 1.846154 | 2.0625 | 0.2163462 |
| | CENTRAL PARK A | v | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | @ JEFFREY PK | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 457 | SOUTH | | 2968 | 5TH AVE @ 69TH ST | 54 | 77 | 23 | 15 | 18 | 3 | 35 | 44 | 9 | 2.333333 | 2.4444444 | 0.1111111 |
| | CENTRAL PARK A | VE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 779 | @ PALMER RD | | 3069 | 5TH AVE @ 98TH ST | 38 | 61 | 23 | 10 | 17 | 7 | 18 | 35 | 17 | 1.8 | 2.0588235 | 0.2588235 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CENTRAL PARK A | VE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 792 | @ MCLEAN AVE | | 3069 | 5TH AVE @ 98TH ST | 31 | 54 | 23 | 11 | 29 | 18 | 24 | 70 | 46 | 2.181818 | 2.4137931 | 0.2319749 |
| Grocery | Grocery Store nea | ar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Store | Central/McLean | | 3069 | 5TH AVE @ 98TH ST | 33 | 56 | 23 | 11 | 30 | 19 | 24 | 72 | 48 | 2.181818 | 2.4 | 0.2181818 |
| | CENTRAL PARK A | v | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | @ JEFFREY PK | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 457 | SOUTH | | 3069 | 5TH AVE @ 98TH ST | 48 | 70 | 22 | 13 | 20 | 7 | 30 | 49 | 19 | 2.307692 | 2.45 | 0.1423077 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CENTRAL PARK A | VE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 792 | @ MCLEAN AVE | | 2968 | 5TH AVE @ 69TH ST | 37 | 59 | 22 | 12 | 20 | 8 | 24 | 42 | 18 | 2 | 2.1 | 0.1 |
| Grocery | Grocery Store nea | ar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Store | Central/McLean | | 2968 | 5TH AVE @ 69TH ST | 39 | 61 | 22 | 12 | 22 | 10 | 24 | 46 | 22 | 2 | 2.0909091 | 0.0909091 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CENTRAL PARK A | VE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 778 | @ ARLINGTON ST | | 2968 | 5TH AVE @ 69TH ST | 45 | 66 | 21 | 10 | 16 | 6 | 17 | 33 | 16 | 1.7 | 2.0625 | 0.3625 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CENTRAL PARK A | VE | | | | | | _ | | _ | | | | | | |
| 778 | @ ARLINGTON ST | | 3069 | 51H AVE @ 98TH ST | 39 | 60 | 21 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 13 | 27 | 14 | 1.625 | 1.9285714 | 0.3035714 |

Figure 32 - O-D Pair Difference Table

Truncation Matrices

This matrix shows the difference of travel times in minutes between two scenarios; one where BxM4C is the full route and one where the route is truncated at stop 3069. The next page contains four other matrices comparing different truncation scenarios to the full route (truncation at 1941, 2968, 1942, and 1943). These five matrices combined constitute a sensitivity analysis that allowed the Research Team to identify at which stop truncating the BxM4C will result in diminishing returns of travel time savings.

| | | a Charlest | @ MCLEAN | E BETHE | @BSTHST | E SSTHST | E STATE | Le ASPOST | @351451 | | @STHAVE | line are | Dent Brat | Bestring | a total agreed | Sale Bullion |
|------------------------------------|---------------|------------|----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------------|----------------|--------------|
| | | 2069 | 1941 | 6 | 1942 | 1942 | 1944 | 1945 | 1946 | 1947 | | - Real | - the | - X ND | - A | Deat |
| | 300 IU 422 | | 1341 | 2300 1 | 1342 | 1343 | 1344 | 1345 | 1340 | 1347 | Dest. | Dest. | Dest. | Dest. | Dest. | Dest. |
| | 432 | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | - 0 | | 0 |
| | 400 | - 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | | | | 0 | | 0 | | | |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ DALEWOOD DR | 430 | 0 | | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | - 1 | | U | | 0 |
| | 441 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | | | 2 | | | 2 | | 0 |
| | 440 | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | | | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| | 450 | | 4 | () | 4 | 4 | | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | 0 |
| | 400 | 0 | | | 4 | | 4 | 2 | 2 | | 2 | | | 2 | | 0 |
| | 2014 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 4 | | 1 | 10 | J 7 | | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AV @ JEFFRET PK SUUTH | 437 | | | 12 | 12 | 10 | | 10 | 10 | 0 | 1 | | 2 | | | 0 |
| | 332 r 461 | | | 12 | 12 | 10 | | 10 | 10 | | | | | | | 0 |
| | 401 | 0 | 0 | 12 | | 10 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ((| | 4 | | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ MELRUSE AVE | 400 | 0 | | 12 | | 12 | (| 3 | 3 | 0 | 5 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 | | 0 |
| | 407 | 0 | | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 1 | 0 | (E | 0 | - 4 | - 4 | 1 | | 4 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ TULKAHUE RU | 463 | 0 | | 10 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | J | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ ARLINGTON ST | 770 | 0 | | 12 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | | 0 | | 1 | | | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ PALMER RU | 700 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ MIDLAND AVE | 780 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 12 | (| 10 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 0 | | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ STAUNTUN ST | 783 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 6 | | 1 | 5 | | U |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ YUNKERS AVE | 785 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 10 | | 5 | | | 6 | 4 | 5 | | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| CENTRAL PARK AVE @ CLARK ST | 700 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 |
| | 132 | - 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | | 1 | 0 | | 0 |
| | 3063 | | | ა ე | | | | 2 | 2 | | | | | 2 | | 0 |
| | 1341 | <u> </u> | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | | 2 | 2 | 3 | | 2 | 0 | 2 | | 0 |
| | 2300 | | - 0 | | 4 | 2 | | 4 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| | 1942 | 0 | | | | 2 | 2 | 2 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | 0 |
| STRAVE @ SISESE | 1943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | - 0 | 0 |
| | 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | | 0 |
| SITAVE@USITISI | 1345 | U . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U . | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | / U | / U, |

Figure 33 - Truncation at Stop 3069 Matrix



| Stop ID | 3069 | 1941 | 2968 | 1942 | 1943 | 1944 | 1945 | 1946 | 1947 | Dest. | Dest. | Dest. | Dest. | Dest. | Dest. |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 432 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 433 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 436 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 441 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 445 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 453 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2514 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| 457 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 13 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 0 |
| 3327 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 13 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 0 |
| 461 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 13 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 0 |
| 465 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 0 |
| 467 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| 469 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| 778 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 13 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 0 |
| 779 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 13 | 9 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 0 |
| 780 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 0 |
| 783 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 13 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 0 |
| 785 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| 786 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| 792 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 0 |
| 3069 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1941 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 2968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| 1942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Figure 37 - Truncation at Stop 1941 Matrix



Figure 36 - Truncation at Stop 2968 Matrix



Figure 35 - Truncation at Stop 1942 Matrix

Figure 34 - Truncation at Stop 1943 Matrix

| stop_id | stop_description | stop_lat | stop_lon |
|---------|------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| 1941 | STH AVE @ 85TH ST | 40.780586 | -73.961179 |
| 1942 | STH AVE @ 59TH ST | 40.764347 | -73.973484 |
| 1943 | STH AVE @ 51ST ST | 40.759274 | -73.977178 |
| 1944 | 5TH AVE @ 43RD ST | 40.753982 | -73.9806 |
| 1945 | 5TH AVE @ 35TH ST | 40.749603 | -73.984287 |
| 1946 | #N/A | 40.743338 | -73.988381 |
| 1947 | 23RD ST @ 5TH AVE | 40.74128 | -73.989067 |
| 1962 | MADISON AVE @ 26TH ST | 40.743225 | -73.986151 |
| 1963 | MADISON AVE @ 32ND ST | 40.746208 | -73.983825 |
| 1964 | MADISON AVE @ 39TH ST | 40.751124 | -73.980153 |
| 1965 | MADISON AVE @ 47TH ST | 40.756242 | -73.976634 |
| 1966 | MADISON AVE @ 54TH ST | 40.760056 | -73.97373 |
| 1967 | MADISON AVE @ 63RD ST | 40.765755 | -73.969543 |
| 1968 | MADISON AVE @ 84TH ST | 40.779305 | -73.959709 |
| 2514 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ FOUNTAIN LA | 40.987596 | -73.824515 |
| 2938 | MADISON AVE @ 99TH ST | 40.788964 | -73.952768 |
| 2968 | 5TH AVE @ 69TH ST | 40.770414 | -73.969123 |
| 2969 | MADISON AVE @ 69TH ST | 40.769651 | -73.966865 |
| 3069 | 5TH AVE @ 98TH ST | 40.788923 | -73.955098 |
| 3327 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ FORT HILL RD | 40.978725 | -73.830773 |
| 432 | CENTRAL AVE @ HARDING AVE | 41.035792 | -73.781011 |
| 433 | CENTRAL AVE @ CROSS ST | 41.031541 | -73.787578 |
| 436 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ DALEWOOD DR | 41.027894 | -73.79092 |
| 441 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ E HARTSDALE AVE | 41.018653 | -73.798793 |
| 445 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ MARION AVE | 41.011656 | -73.806916 |
| 450 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ UNDERHILL RD | 41.001282 | -73.814744 |
| 453 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ ARDSLEY RD | 40.993413 | -73.820476 |
| 457 | CENTRAL PARK AV @ JEFFREY PK SOUTH | 40.982705 | -73.828554 |
| 461 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ ROXBURY DR | 40.973696 | -73.834318 |
| 465 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ MELROSE AVE | 40.962165 | -73.840298 |
| 467 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ SADORE LA | 40.956148 | -73.842018 |
| 469 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ TUCKAHOE RD | 40.952873 | -73.843792 |
| 470 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ TUCKAHOE RD | 40.953458 | -73.842492 |
| 471 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ SADORE LA | 40.95613 | -73.841527 |
| 472 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ MELROSE AVE | 40.962442 | -73.839674 |
| 477 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ ROXBURY DR | 40.974198 | -73.833524 |
| 479 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ E FORT HILL RD | 40.979858 | -73.829983 |
| 480 | CENTRAL PARK AV @ BURLINGTON COAT | 40.982657 | -73.82805 |

Appendix B: Analysis Origins and Destinations

| 481 | CENTRAL PARK AV @ CENTRAL PLAZA SC | 40.9856 | -73.825583 |
|-------|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| 482 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ CLIFTON RD | 40.988213 | -73.823634 |
| 484 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ ARDSLEY RD | 40.993066 | -73.82055 |
| 488 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ OLD ARMY RD | 41.002487 | -73.813765 |
| 493 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ MARION AVE | 41.011674 | -73.806603 |
| 495 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ E HARTSDALE AVE | 41.018735 | -73.798311 |
| 501 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ CONCORD AVE | 41.027821 | -73.790625 |
| 504 | CENTRAL AVE @ CHATTERTON AVE | 41.033418 | -73.785091 |
| 505 | CENTRAL AVE @ HARDING AVE | 41.035583 | -73.781223 |
| 778 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ ARLINGTON ST | 40.949446 | -73.847976 |
| 779 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ PALMER RD | 40.944907 | -73.850633 |
| 780 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ MIDLAND AVE | 40.934779 | -73.856631 |
| 783 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ STAUNTON ST | 40.924992 | -73.859266 |
| 785 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ YONKERS AVE | 40.921716 | -73.863283 |
| 786 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ CLARK ST | 40.920716 | -73.866166 |
| 792 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ MCLEAN AVE | 40.90895 | -73.877962 |
| 793 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ MCLEAN AVE | 40.908943 | -73.877254 |
| 797 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ CLARK ST | 40.920383 | -73.865489 |
| 798 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ YONKERS AVE | 40.921533 | -73.862333 |
| 801 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ MILE SQUARE RD | 40.924583 | -73.858133 |
| 804 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ MIDLAND AVE | 40.934808 | -73.855739 |
| 805 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ PALMER RD | 40.944806 | -73.850338 |
| 806 | CENTRAL PARK AVE @ ARLINGTON ST | 40.94934 | -73.847496 |
| Dest. | Times Square | 40.757974 | -73.985544 |
| Dest. | Theatre District Broadway | 40.759456 | -73.985149 |
| Dest. | Highline Beginning | 40.73952 | -74.008184 |
| Dest. | Highline End | 40.756336 | -74.003613 |
| Dest. | Empire State Building | 40.748441 | -73.985665 |
| Dest. | Museum of Natural History | 40.78083 | -73.972832 |
| Dest. | Museum of the City of NY | 40.792505 | -73.951899 |
| Dest. | Mount Sinai Hospital | 40.789933 | -73.952419 |
| Dest. | BronxCare Center | 40.843376 | -73.910073 |
| Dest. | NYC Health Hospitals Bellevue | 40.739691 | -73.976209 |
| Dest. | Montefiore Medical Center | 40.860691 | -73.890126 |
| Dest. | James J Peters VA Medical Center | 40.867533 | -73.906437 |
| Dest. | New York Presbytarian Westchester | 40.942383 | -73.837006 |
| Dest. | Grocery Plaza near Central/Dalewood | 41.026697 | -73.791973 |
| Dest. | Wall Street | 40.70615 | -74.00904 |
| Dest. | Hudson Yards | 40.754279 | -74.002306 |
| Dest. | NYU | 40.729521 | -73.996461 |
| Dest. | 9/11 Memorial | 40.711415 | -74.012482 |

| Dest. | Grocery Plaza near Central/Ardsley | 40.992413 | -73.821639 |
|-------|--|-----------|------------|
| Dest. | Grocery Plaza near CntrlWstchrPkw/Reservoir Rd) (MetroNorth) | 41.054875 | -73.765752 |
| Dest. | Grocery Plaza near White Plains Metro North Station | 41.032305 | -73.765018 |
| Dest. | Large Lot near Central/Harding | 41.037941 | -73.782483 |
| Dest. | Shopping Plaza near Central/Cross | 41.030752 | -73.788926 |
| Dest. | Large Lot near Central/Underhill | 40.999428 | -73.815023 |
| Dest. | Shopping Plaza near Central/Fountain | 40.985623 | -73.825369 |
| Dest. | Shopping Plaza near Central/Fort Hill | 40.977647 | -73.829985 |
| Dest. | Grocery Store near Central/McLean | 40.908932 | -73.876803 |

Figure 38 - Full List of Origins and Destinations Selected for Analyses